



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,765	02/14/2000	Hi-Chan Moon	678-455(P9161) 7130		
7:	590 02/27/2003				
Paul J. Farrell		EXAMINER			
	gton Boulevard	WARD, RONALD J			
Uniondale, NY	11663		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			2685		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

·		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Addison Commence	09/503,76	5	MOON ET AL.	M				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ronald J W		2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing indicated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever within the statu will apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 20	<u>00</u> .						
2a)[_]	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is	non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa				merits is				
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	∈х раπе Qι	iayie, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.						
	on Papers The appeignment is abjected to by the Everyna.	-							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		phicated to by the Ever	minor					
10)[_]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	. —	•						
11)[7]	The proposed drawing correction filed on								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) [] Interview Summer	(PTO-412) Paper No(a)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibino (U.S. Patent Number 5,444,862) in view of Sunay et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0082019).

As to **claim 1**, Hibino discloses, in Figures 3 and 6, a mobile station device for controlling transmission in a mobile communication system, comprising:

a measurer (21 in Fig. 3) for detecting a channel signal received on a forward link channel and measuring a reception strength (RSSI) of the received channel signal;

a controller (41 in Fig. 6) for comparing the reception strength with a threshold and generating a signal for controlling transmission on a reverse link depending on the comparison (see col. 6 lines 36-61); and

a reverse link transmitter (63) for stopping channel transmission on the reverse link in response to the transmission control signal (see col. 9 lines 2-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/503,765 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

However, Hibino fails to explicitly disclose using a detected power control bit for measuring reception strength. Hibino simply prescribes measuring the strength of received radio waves (see col. 1 lines 49-53) or a received radio frequency signal (see col. 5 lines 10-13), and is silent as to which particular bits in the received signal are used.

In an analogous art, Sunay discloses a mobile station device for controlling transmission in a mobile communication system comprising a measurer for detecting a power control bit from a channel signal received on a forward link channel (pilot signal) and measuring a reception strength of the received channel signal using the detected power control bit. Paragraphs 31 and 32 disclose the detection of power control bits and paragraph and paragraph 51 discloses measuring the reception strength of the pilot signal that includes the detected power control bit, which inherently entails using the detected power control bit.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hibino to use a detected power control bit for measuring reception strength, as taught by Sunay. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification because the pilot signal, which includes the power control bit, is transmitted continuously whether data traffic is being transmitted or not.

As to **claim 9**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 1 carries out the method of claim 9.

4. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Hibino and Sunay as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Posti et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6466794).

Art Unit: 2685

As to **claim 2**, Hibino fails to explicitly disclose that the forward link channel is transmitted in a discontinuous transmission mode. In an analogous art, Posti discloses a forward link channel that is transmitted in a discontinuous transmission mode (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Hibino and Sunay to include this discontinuous transmission mode, as taught by Posti, for the purpose of reducing power consumption and lowering network interference levels (see col. 1 lines 25-31 in Posti).

As to **claim 10**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 2 carries out the method of claim 10.

5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Hibino, Sunay, and Posti as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Hall (U.S. Patent Number 5491717).

As to **claim 3**, Hibino and Sunay fail to explicitly recite that the reception strength measurement is a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) calculated using the power control bit. Hibino discloses using RSSI measurements and Sunay discloses using signal strength (see paragraph 14).

In an analogous art Hall discloses using a SNR measurement of the forward link channel for purposes of stopping transmission on a channel (see abstract and see col. 7 lines 5-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Hibino, Sunay, and Posti to use a SNR measurement of Sunay's pilot signal, as taught by Hall. One of ordinary skill in the art would

Application/Control Number: 09/503,765 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

have been motivated to make this modification because SNR measurements are more accurate than RSSI measurements in assessing channel quality.

As to **claim 11**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 3 carries out the method of claim 11.

6. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Hibino and Sunay as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hall.

As to **claim 4**, Hibino fails to explicitly disclose generating the transmission control signal based on an average value of reception strength.

In an analogous art, Hall discloses using a mean received SNR to generate a transmission control signal that terminates transmission if the mean value is smaller than a threshold (see col. 7 lines 5-15). Taking a mean is equivalent to accumulating values for a predetermined period and averaging the accumulated values.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Hibino and Sunay to use an average value of reception strength, as taught by Hall for the purpose of avoiding erratic stopping of the transmission (see col. 7 lines 54-56 of Hall).

As to **claim 12**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 4 carries out the method of claim 12.

7. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koshino (U.S. Patent Number 6169909) in view of Sunay.

Art Unit: 2685

As to **claim 5**, Koshino discloses, in Figures 1-3, a mobile station device for resuming communication in a reverse link transmission suspended state in a mobile communication system, comprising:

a measurer (32 in Fig. 3) for detecting a channel signal received on a forward link channel and measuring a reception condition of the received channel signal;

a controller (32) for comparing the reception condition with a threshold and generating a signal for resuming transmission on a reverse link depending on the comparison (see 5 lines 55-62, col. 6 lines 11-15 and lines 35-40, also see step 718 in Fig. 7); and

a reverse link transmitter (2 in Fig. 2) for resuming channel transmission on the reverse link in response to the transmission resuming signal (see col. 6 lines 41-47, also see step 720 in Fig. 7).

However, Koshino fails to explicitly disclose using a detected power control bit for measuring reception strength. Koshino teaches measuring the reception condition (see col. 4 lines 8-15), and is silent as to which particular bits in the received signal are used to measure the condition.

In an analogous art, Sunay discloses a mobile station device for resuming transmission (after handoff) in a mobile communication system comprising a measurer for detecting a power control bit from a channel signal received on a forward link channel (pilot signal) and measuring a reception strength of the received channel signal using the detected power control bit (see paragraphs 30, 31, 51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koshino to use a detected power control bit from a pilot signal for

Art Unit: 2685

measuring reception strength, as taught by Sunay. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification because the pilot signal, which includes the power control bit, is transmitted continuously from various base stations whether data traffic is being transmitted or not. Furthermore, this modification would enable Koshino's device to perform handoffs when the signal quality deteriorated sufficiently.

As to **claim 13**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 5 carries out the method of claim 13.

8. Claims 7-8, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Koshino and Sunay as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Hall.

As to **claim 7**, the combination system of Koshino and Sunay disclose everything as applied to claim 5 above. However, Koshino and Sunay fail to explicitly recite that the reception strength measurement is a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) calculated using the power control bit. Koshino discloses measuring reception conditions (see col. 4 lines 8-15) and Sunay discloses using signal strength (see paragraph 14).

In an analogous art Hall discloses using a SNR measurement of the forward link channel for purposes of controlling transmission on a reverse channel (see abstract and see col. 7 lines 5-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Koshino and Sunay to use a SNR measurement of Sunay's pilot signal, as taught by Hall. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/503,765 Page 8

Art Unit: 2685

motivated to make this modification because SNR measurements are more accurate than signal strength measurements in assessing channel quality.

As to **claim 8**, the combination system of Koshino and Sunay disclose everything as applied to claim 5 above. However, Koshino and Sunay fail to disclose using an average value of reception strength.

In an analogous art, Hall discloses using a mean received SNR to generate a transmission control signal that controls transmission (see col. 7 lines 5-15). Taking a mean is equivalent to accumulating values for a predetermined period and averaging the accumulated values.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Koshino and Sunay to use an average value of reception strength, as taught by Hall for the purpose of avoiding erratic behavior of the transmission (see col. 7 lines 54-56 of Hall).

As to **claim 15**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 7 carries out the method of claim 15.

As to **claim 16**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 8 carries out the method of claim 16.

9. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Koshino and Sunay as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Posti et al.

As to **claim 6**, Koshino fails to explicitly disclose that the forward link channel is transmitted in a discontinuous transmission mode. For the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claim 2 above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2685

time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Koshino and Sunay to include the discontinuous transmission mode in the forward link, as taught by Posti.

As to **claim 14**, it is considered that the apparatus of claim 6 carries out the method of claim 14.

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koshino in view of Sunay.

Koshino discloses, in Figure 7, a method of controlling communication on a reverse link in a mobile communication system, comprising the steps of:

measuring a reception condition of the received channel signal (see col. 5 lines 55-58, see step 707 in Fig. 7);

comparing the reception condition with a first threshold and stopping transmission on a reverse link by controlling a reverse link channel depending on the comparison (see col. 5 line 59 through col. 6 line 10, see step 711 in Fig. 7)

measuring a reception condition of a subsequent channel signal (see col. 6 lines 11-15, see step 713 in Fig. 7);

comparing the reception condition of the subsequent channel signal with a second threshold and resuming transmission on the reverse link by controlling the reverse link channel depending on the comparison (see step 720 in Fig. 7).

However, Koshino fails to explicitly disclose using a detected power control bit for measuring reception strength. Koshino teaches measuring the reception condition (see col. 4 lines 8-15), and is silent as to which particular bits in the received signal are used to measure the condition.

Art Unit: 2685

In an analogous art, Sunay discloses a mobile station device for resuming transmission (after handoff) in a mobile communication system comprising a measurer for detecting a power control bit from a channel signal received on a forward link channel (pilot signal) and measuring a reception strength of the received channel signal using the detected power control bit (see paragraphs 30, 31, 51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koshino to use a detected power control bit for measuring reception strength, as taught by Sunay. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification because the pilot signal, which includes the power control bit, is transmitted continuously from various base stations whether data traffic is being transmitted or not. Furthermore, this modification would enable Koshino's device to perform handoffs when the signal quality deteriorated sufficiently.

11. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Koshino and Sunay as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Mamaghani et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5794148).

As to **claim 18**, the combination of Koshino and Sunay fail to explicitly recite releasing the reverse link channel and ending the communication if the signal strength of the first channel signal is determined unacceptable more times than a predetermined number for a predetermined time.

In an analogous art, Mamaghani discloses releasing the reverse link channel and ending the communication if the signal strength of the first channel signal is determined unacceptable

Art Unit: 2685

more times than a predetermined number for a predetermined time (see col. 4 lines 1-11 and lines 21-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Koshino and Sunay to release the reverse link channel if the signal strength is determined unacceptable a certain number of times for predetermined time, as taught by Mamaghani. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification in the interests of preserving system capacity and time (see col. 3 lines 19-27 of Mamaghani).

As to **claim 19**, the combination system of Koshino, Sunay and Mamaghani discloses everything as applied to claim 18 above. In addition, Sunay inherently discloses returning to the step of detecting a power control bit from a first channel signal. This step is inherent because handoffs are inherently repeated.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Black et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6208873) discloses detecting power control bits on a forward link and comparing them to thresholds to determine how a reverse link transmitter should be controlled (see col. 4 lines 26-48).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald J. Ward whose telephone number is (703) 305-5616. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 2685

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

RJW

February 21, 2003

Alv

LESTER O KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER